

EDWARD A. TREDER

State Bar No. 116307

GRACE K. EVERITT

State Bar No. 245844

BARRETT DAFFIN & FRAPPIER

TREDER & WEISS, LLP

20955 Pathfinder Road, Suite 300

Diamond Bar, CA 91765

(972) 340-7918 – Phone

(972) 341-0783 – Fax

GraceE@BDFGroup.com

File No. 20100177900549

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Norwest Financial Acceptance, Inc. dba Wells
Fargo Financial Retail Credit, Inc.,

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

NORWEST FINANCIAL ACCEPTANCE,
INC. doing business as WELLS FARGO
FINANCIAL RETAIL CREDIT, INC., its
successors and/or assigns,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BRAD A. ZAZZETTI, an individual; PEGGY
SUE ZAZZETTI, an individual; MORTGAGE
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,
INC., a Delaware corporation; HOUSEHOLD
FINANCE CORPORATION OF
CALIFORNIA, a Delaware corporation;
ADMINISTRATOR OF THE U.S. SMALL
BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, an agency
of the government of the United States of
America, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:10-CV-04257-MEJ

UNLIMITED CIVIL

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO:
HON. MARIA-ELENA JAMES, ROOM B

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER DISMISSING
DEFENDANT ADMINISTRATOR
OF THE U.S. SMALL BUSINESS
ADMINISTRATION (“SBA”) AND
REMANDING THIS ACTION TO
THE CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR
COURT, PURSUANT TO
STIPULATION**

///

1 All parties herein who have appeared in this action, including Defendant ADMINISTRATOR
2 OF THE U.S. SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION ("SBA") and Plaintiff NORWEST
3 FINANCIAL ACCEPTANCE, INC. doing business as WELLS FARGO FINANCIAL RETAIL
4 CREDIT, INC ("Plaintiff"), having stipulated to a dismissal of the aforesaid Defendant SBA from
5 this action and to remand this action back to State court, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING
6 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY:
7

8 **ORDERED THAT** Defendant ADMINISTRATOR OF THE U.S. SMALL BUSINESS
9 ADMINISTRATION ("SBA") is hereby dismissed from this action; and it is further
10

11 **ORDERED THAT** this action is remanded back to the Superior Court of the State of
12 California for the County of Orange since this Court no longer has subject matter jurisdiction after
13 the dismissal of Defendant SBA.

14 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15
16
17 Dated: January 6, 2011

18 By:

